#4 VT 274/02

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 39209

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: TURNER ET AL.

Group Art Unit: 2662

Application No. 09/705,450

Examiner: QURESHI, AFSAR M

Filed: November 2, 2000

For: Method and Apparatus for Controlling
Input Rates Within a Packet Switching
System

RECEIVED

JAN 3 0 2002

Technology Center 2600

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application. The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form PTO-1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

A bona fide attempt is made to comply with §§ 1.97-98. The filing of this information disclosure statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, nor is it to be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in § 1.56(b). Additionally, the submission of this IDS is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so. Furthermore, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

In re TURNER ET AL. Application No. 09/705,450

The In	Under than a date of (3) before	ion Disclosure Statement is being filed: § 1.97(b): (1) within three months of the filing date of a national application other continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d); (2) within three months of the tentry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application; fore the mailing of a first Office action on the merits; or (4) before the mailing of a ffice action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114.
	mailing	§ 1.97(c): after (1), (2), or (3) above (i.e., after paragraph (b)) and before the g date of any of a final action under § 1.113, a notice of allowance under § 1.311, action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.
		§ 1.97(d): after the period specified in § 1.97(c) and before payment of the issue d is accompanied by: the statement specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e): Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement; or That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.
		the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).

In re TURNER ET AL. Application No. 09/705,450

Copies Of The References

Enclosed herewith are copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in § 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information patent, publication, or other information listed that is not in the English language included either separate from applicant's specification or incorporated therein. Furthermore, a copy of the translation of a non-English language reference is included either English-language translation of a non-English-language doc or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody, or control of, or is readily average to any individual designated in § 1.56(c).			
A copy of a foreign search report is enclosed	l herewith.		
application, and (1) the earlier application is disclosure statement and is relied on for an electric transfer and (2) the information disclosure state complies with paragraphs (a) through (c) of	properly identified in the information earlier effective filing date under 35 U.S.C. ment submitted in the earlier application this 37 C.F.R. § 198. The details of the er filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120 in which		
ILS APPLICATIONS	Status (check one)		

U.S. APPLI	Status (check one)			
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

In re TURNER ET AL. Application No. 09/705,450

The IDS Fee of \$180 Attached is ch	0.00 is due.
If any additional fees	Additional Fees and Credit any Overpayment are owed in connection with this communication, please charge 501430.
	01430 for any overpayment in connection with this communication.
January 24, 2002	Respectfully submitted,
	The Law Office of Kirk D. Williams
	By
	rization To Charge A If any additional fees Deposit Account No.

303-778-0748 (facsimile)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE FORM PTO-1449 Application Number 09/705,450 MODIFIED PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE November 2, 2000 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE First Named Inventor Jonathan S. Turner STATEMENT BY APPLICANT Group Art Unit 2662 QURESHI, AFSAR M **Examiner Name** of Attorney Docket No. 39209 Sheet 1 1 **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS** Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited **EXAMINER'S** Cite Document Number ISSUE or **INITIALS** PUBL. DATE Document No. Jonathan S. Turner AA US - 5402415 03-28-1995 Jonathan S. Turner US - 5229991 07-20-1993 AΒ Jonathan S. Turner 11-09-1993 ACUS - 5260935 Jonathan S. Turner US - 5339311 08-16-1994 AD Jonathan S. Turner US - 5179556 01-12-1993 AE Jonathan S. Turner AF US - 5179551 01-12-1993 Jonathan S. Turner 2-13-1990 US - 4901309 AG

07-18-1989

05-09-1989

03-29-1988

01-15-1985

01-01-1985

12-16-1986

01-09-1990

US - 4849968

US - 4829227

US - 4734907

US - 4494230

US - 4491945

US - 4630260

US - 4893304

AΗ

ΑI

ΑJ

AK

AL

AM

AN

Jonathan S. Turner

Giacopelli et al.

Toy et al.

	AM	US - 5127000	06-30-1992	Michel A. R. Henrion	
	AN	US - 5173897	12-22-1992	Schrodi et al.	
	AO	US - 5253251	10-12-1993	Toshiya Aramaki	
	AP	US - 5842040	11-24-1998	Hughes et al.	
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	Cite No.	OTHER DOCUMENTS			
	AQ	ZUBIN DITTIA, "Integrated Hardware/Software Design of a High Performance Network Interface," March 2000, Doctor of Science Thesis, Sever Institute of Technology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, 139 pages.			
	AR	JONATHAN S. TURNER, "An Optimal Nonblocking Multicast Virtual Circuit Switch," June 1994, Proceedings of Infocom, 8 pages.			
	AS	CHANEY ET AL., "Design of a Gigabit ATM Switch," Feb. 5, 1996, WUCS-96-07, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 20 pages.			
	AT	TURNER ET AL., "System Architecture Document for Gigabit Switching Technology," Aug. 27, 1998, Ver. 3.5, ARL-94-11, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 110 pages.			